

Chool → (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition No. 899/03 2002.

Petitioner -- Lalit Verma aged 29 years,
S/o Late Shri Gajjulal Verma,
Village & Post - Borid
Tahsil Patan, Distt.DURG (C.G.).

Versus

Respondents (1) Chairman,
Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board,
Shakti Bhawan,
Rampur,
Jabalpur.(M.P.).

P.R. No. 899/02
Presented by Shri. M. K. Mohite
dated 12.11.02

(2) Chairman,
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Dangania,
Raipur (C.G.).

R (3) Addl. Supdt. Engineer. Dn. II
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Durg (C.G.).

(Through - Respondent No. 2).

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS
OR CERTIORARY OR PROHIBITION AND OR WRIT OF ANY OTHER
NATURE AND/OR ORDER OR DIRECTION.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 899/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	26-3-2004 Shri R. M. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.3. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India whereby the petitioner has prayed for directing the respondents to consider and appoint the petitioner on compassionate ground. The petitioner's case is that his father was working as Attendant Gr.-I(L) under respondent No.1 and his father while serving under respondent No.1 had expired on 19-7-99. The petitioner had submitted an application for appointment on compassionate ground and the application of the petitioner was forwarded to Additional Secretary (Personnel). Respondent No.1 has not taken any action on the application of the petitioner and kept the application pending for a long time without any reasonable ground. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.2 has issued appointment orders in respect of 31 persons on compassionate ground. Counsel further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondents 1 & 2 and respondents 1 & 2 may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner to which the learned counsel for respondent No.3 has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to	

(27)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का
दिनांक आदेश
क्रमांक सहित

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित

- 2 -

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार
के अन्तिम आदेश

respondents 1&2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondents 1&2 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation considering all the grievances of the petitioner and will pass a reasoned order.

In view of this order, I.A. No 9594/2003 stands disposed of.

With the aforesaid directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Barve

[पीछे देखिये]

3/1